



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ml

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/732,751

12/10/2003

Victor S. Chan

CA920030047US1

6538

58139

7590

07/03/2007

IBM CORP. (WSM)

c/o WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C.

P.O. BOX 50784

DALLAS, TX 75201

EXAMINER

WON, MICHAEL YOUNG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2155

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/03/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/732,751

Applicant(s)

CHAN ET AL.

Examiner

Michael Y. Won

Art Unit

2155

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/10/03</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the application filed December 10, 2003.
2. Claims 1-21 have been examined and are pending with this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-18, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Clark et al. (US 2003/0088617 A1).

INDEPENDENT:

As per **claim 1**, Clark teaches for a data processing system, a method for managing commerce contexts (see page 1, [0004]: “access secure business logic, such as e-commerce shopping cart system”), the data processing system being associated with a direct commerce context and a temporary commerce context (see page 2, [0011]: “building a response with an application content page built by the view portion and a “wraparound” portion...” and page 6, [0042]: “default or home feature content”), the data

Art Unit: 2155

processing system being operatively coupled to memory having a session area (see page 2, [0011]: "Memory, such as a database and a cache, is included for storing application-specific data and lists of the feature mechanism of the application"), said method comprising the steps of:

receiving a client request associated with a commerce context parameter (see page 6, [0043]: "At 120, the user request 120 is processed by the master controller 70 to obtain the page name parameter from other provided request information"); and

determining the commerce context associated with said commerce context parameter (see page 4, [0028]: "The master controller 70 obtains a page name parameter that is used to determine which feature content 88 should receive the user request 42 information"; and page 6, [0043]: "(if null, the page name parameter remains the home page). Next, the master controller 70 acts to get the feature content page 88 associated with the obtained page name parameter").

As per **claim 8**, Clark teaches a computer program product having a computer readable medium tangibly embodying code (see page 1, [0005]: "service portal may be thought of as including both the hardware infrastructure... and a software framework necessary to implement functions including ...") for directing a data processing system to manage commerce contexts (see page 1, [0004]: "access secure business logic, such as e-commerce shopping cart system"), the data processing system being associated with a direct commerce context and a temporary commerce context (see page 2, [0011]: "building a response with an application content page built by the view

Art Unit: 2155

portion and a “wraparound” portion...” and page 6, [0042]: “default or home feature content”), the data processing system being operatively coupled to memory having a session area (see page 2, [0011]: “Memory, such as a database and a cache, is included for storing application-specific data and lists of the feature mechanism of the application”), said computer program product comprising:

code for receiving a client request associated with a commerce context parameter (see page 6, [0043]: “At 120, the user request 120 is processed by the master controller 70 to obtain the page name parameter from other provided request information”); and

code for determining the commerce context associated with said commerce context parameter (see page 4, [0028]: “The master controller 70 obtains a page name parameter that is used to determine which feature content 88 should receive the user request 42 information”; and page 6, [0043]: “(if null, the page name parameter remains the home page). Next, the master controller 70 acts to get the feature content page 88 associated with the obtained page name parameter”).

As per **claim 15**, Clark teaches a data processing system for managing commerce contexts (see page 1, [0004]: “access secure business logic, such as e-commerce shopping cart system”), said data processing system being associated with a direct commerce context and a temporary commerce context (see page 2, [0011]: “building a response with an application content page built by the view portion and a “wraparound” portion...” and page 6, [0042]: “default or home feature content”), said

Art Unit: 2155

data processing system being operatively coupled to memory having a session area (see page 2, [0011]: "Memory, such as a database and a cache, is included for storing application-specific data and lists of the feature mechanism of the application"), said data processing system comprising:

a module for receiving a client request associated with a commerce context parameter (see page 6, [0043]: "At 120, the user request 120 is processed by the master controller 70 to obtain the page name parameter from other provided request information"); and

a module for determining the commerce context associated with said commerce context parameter (see page 4, [0028]: "The master controller 70 obtains a page name parameter that is used to determine which feature content 88 should receive the user request 42 information"; and page 6, [0043]: "(if null, the page name parameter remains the home page). Next, the master controller 70 acts to get the feature content page 88 associated with the obtained page name parameter").

DEPENDENT:

As per **claims 2, 9, and 16**, which respectively depend on claims 1, 8, and 15, Clark further teaches wherein the step of determining the commerce context associated with said commerce context parameter includes determining whether said commerce context parameter identifies said direct commerce context or said temporary commerce context (see page 6, [0043]: "(if null, the page name parameter remains the home

page). Next, the master controller 70 acts to get the feature content page 88 associated with the obtained page name parameter”).

As per **claims 3, 10, and 17**, which respectively depend on claims 2, 9, and 16, Clark teaches of further comprising the step of constructing the commerce context associated with said commerce context parameter (see page 5, [0037]: “which is well-known technique for dynamically building graphical user interfaces based on request 42 parameters with JSP pages”; and page 6: [0047]: “The presentation container 76 begins to build the response 44 by including at least a first part of the repeated “wrap around” presentation”).

As per **claims 4, 11, and 18**, which respectively depend on claims 3, 10, and 17, further teaches wherein said commerce context parameter is included in said client request (see page 6, [0043]: “the master controller 70 acts to get the feature content page 88 associated with the obtained page name parameter”).

As per **claims 6, 13, and 20**, which respectively depend on claims 4, 11, and 18, Clark further teaches wherein said client request further includes a second commerce context parameter, and wherein said method further comprises the step of defining said second commerce context parameter in the session area (page 6: [0044]: “Steps 120 and 130 typically occur in response to a user of a client 50, 54, 58 operating their browser to navigate to a menu item or page function and the master controller 70 and abstract controller 72 handling the request 42 based on feature content 88 properties”).

As per **claims 7, 14, and 21**, which respectively depend on claims 6, 13, and 20, Clark teaches of further comprising the step of executing said client request using said

constructed commerce context (see page 6, [0048]: "The built response 44 is then returned and the presentation displayed to the user").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5, 12, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark et al. (US 2003/0088617 A1) in view of Hunt et al. (US 6,223,215 B1).

As per claims 5, 12, and 19, which respectively depend on claims 3, 10, and 17, Clark does not explicitly teach wherein said commerce context parameter is defined in the session area.

Hunt teaches wherein said commerce context parameter is defined in the session area (see col.7, lines 30-42).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Clark in view of Hunt so that the commerce context parameter is defined in the session area. One would be motivated to do so because Clark teaches that the invention is session-based system where a reference is established (see page 4, [0030]).

Conclusion

5. For the reasons above, claim 1-21 have been rejected and remain pending.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Y. Won whose telephone number is 571-272-3993. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 7AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Won/

Primary Examiner

June 28, 2007